

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	No. 1:23-cv-00108-LMB-JFA
)	
GOOGLE LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Upon consideration of Plaintiffs’ motion to seal the exhibits referenced in their Reply in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for *In Camera* Inspection and To Compel Production of Documents Wrongfully Withheld as Privileged and to redact certain portions of that brief and appendix that reference the contents of those exhibits, and pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5 and the standards set forth in *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 288, 302 (4th Cir. 2000), the Court hereby

FINDS the motion for sealing should be granted due to Defendant Google marking the exhibits as confidential or highly confidential.

Accordingly, for good cause shown, it is hereby

ORDERED that Plaintiffs’ motion to seal is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that portions of the appendix, the entirety of exhibits 1-6 to Plaintiffs’ Reply, as well as certain portions of the Reply (Dkt. 257) that reference the contents of those exhibits, shall be maintained under seal by the Clerk, until otherwise directed.

DATE: _____